| 1 | | Incident type Internal Complaint | Summery It was alleged an Inmate Classification Specialist was unprofessional toward employees and inmates. | Allegation(6)/force type(6) CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees | Not-Sustained Not-Sustained | Discipline | Investigative Summary The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations. | Disposition Not-Sustained | Closed 11/01/202 |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| IA2024-0164 | 04/16/2024 | Internal Complaint | It was alleged a Deputy failed to meet standards for providing proper paramedic care during a call for service on 02/16/2024. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Sustained | Employee Suspended | The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of a policy violation. | Sustained | 11/01/20 |
| IA2024-0293 | 06/18/2024 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Detention Officer was discourteous and retailated against her after filing an inmate grievance. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP11 - Anti-Retailation | Not-Sustained Not-Sustained | | The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations. | Not-Sustained | 11/01/20 |
| 142024-0339 | 07/15/2024 | External Complaint | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Sustained | Previously Resigned/Retired | The investigation determined the Deputy violated policy by discouraging the complainant from making a report. The | Sustained | 11/04/20 |
| | | | enforcement decisions based on the complainant's race and gender during a call for service. It was also alleged | CP8 - Preventing Racial and Other Biased Based profiling | Unfounded | | remaining allegations were found to be false or not supported by facts. | | |
| | | | the Deputy discouraged the complainant from making a report and then wrote an inaccurate report. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Unfounded Unfounded | | | | |
| | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Unfounded | | | | | |
| IA2023-0248 | 05/15/2023 | External Complaint | The complainants alleged a Deputy was unprofessional during a call for service due to her gender. It was alleged that a second deputy failed to complete a thorough domestic violence investigation. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives | Sustained | Written Reprimand | The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. | Sustained | 11/05/2 |
| | | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Sustained | Previously Resigned/Retired | | | |
| IA2023-0468 | 08/24/2023 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Detention Officer committed disorderly conduct. It was also alleged that the Officer identified himself as an MCSO employee in doing so bringing the office into disrepute. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Sustained Sustained | Employee Suspended | The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of policy violations. | Sustained | 11/05/20 |
| IA2019-0222 | 05/09/2019 | Internal Complaint | It was alleged a Deputy failed to meet standards while on a call for service and prematurely deactivated his body-worn camera while on-scene. It was also alleged a Sworn Sergeant failed to maintain a written record of | GB2 - Command Responsibility | Sustained | Written Reprimand | The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. | Sustained | 11/06/20 |
| | | | his subordinate's observed shortcomings. Additionally, it was alleged a second Deputy prematurely deactivated | GJ35 - Body-Worn Cameras | Sustained | Coaching | | | |
| | | | his body-worn camera while on-scene during a call for service. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Sustained | Previously Resigned/Retired | | | |
| | | | | GJ35 - Body-Worn Cameras | Sustained | | | | |
| IA2022-0258 | 06/13/2022 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Deputy was unprofessional and used profanity during a call for service. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Not-Sustained Sustained | Employee Suspended | The investigation determiend the Deputy violated policy by using profanity. However, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove he was unprofessional. | Sustained | 11/06/20 |
| IA2024-0094 | 03/13/2024 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Detention Officer used profanity toward him. It was internally alleged the Detention | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Sustained | Employee Suspended | The investigation determined the allegations are supported by the preponderance of evidence and justify a reasonable | Sustained | 11/06/20 |
| | | | Officer failed to perform a proper security walk. It was also alleged a second Detention Officer failed to provide safety and security. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards DH6 - Inmate Supervision, Security Walks and Headcounts | Sustained Sustained | | conclusion of multiple policy violations. | | |
| | | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Sustained | Previously Resigned/Retired | | | |
| IA2024-0179 | 04/22/2024 | External Complaint | It is alleged a Detention Officer displayed unprofessional behavior towards an inmate. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Sustained | Coaching | The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of a policy violation. | Sustained | 11/06/2 |
| IA2024-0249 | 05/28/2024 | Internal Complaint | It was alleged a Detention Officer failed to ensure doors were secured in an inmate housing unit. Additionally, it was alleged a second Officer was sleeping on-duty and left slider doors unsecured for an extended period of | | Sustained Sustained | Employee Suspended | The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. | Sustained | 11/06/2 |
| | | | time. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Sustained | Coaching | | | |
| IA2024-0342 | 07/15/2024 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Call Taker failed to take appropriate action regarding his request to have an Order of Protection served. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Unfounded | | The investigation determined the allegation was false or not supported by fact. | Unfounded | 11/06/20 |
| IA2024-0388 | 08/19/2024 | Internal Complaint | It was alleged a Detention Officer made an inappropriate comment in the jail facility. | CP3 - Workplace Professionalism | Not-Sustained | | The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. | Not-Sustained | 11/06/20 |
| CI2023-0001 | 05/22/2023 | Critical Incident | On May 19, 2023, two Deputies used lethal force against a suspect who raised his rifle at a Deputy. | CP1-Use of Force | Exonerated | | The investigation determined the incident occurred, but the employees' actions were found to be within Office Policy, Procedures, and Training. | Exonerated | 11/07/20 |
| | | | | CP1-Use of Force | Exonerated | | Procedures, and Hanning. | | |
| IA2023-0267 | 05/23/2023 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Deputy authored an inaccurate incident report. | GF5 - Incident Report Guidelines | Unfounded | | The investigation determined that the allegation was false or not supported by fact. | Unfounded | 11/07/20 |
| IA2020-0335 | 06/30/2020 | External Complaint | It is alleged Detention Staff failed to provide inmate forms to an inmate. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Not-Sustained | | The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. | Not-Sustained | 11/12/20 |
| IA2020-0353 | 07/14/2020 | External Complaint | The complainants alleged a Detention Officer was rude toward inmates by displaying a bad attitude and throwing their clean towels on the floor. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Administrative Closure | Previously Resigned/Retired | The involved employee is no longer employed, therefore the matter has been administratively closed and documented in their employment file. | n PD - Admin Closure | 11/12/20 |
| IA2022-0277 | 06/23/2022 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Detention Officer was rude and tossed an inmates food on the floor. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Administrative Closure | Previously Resigned/Retired | The employee involved is no longer employed, therefore the matter was administratively closed and documented in their employment file. | r PD - Admin Closure | 11/12/20 |
| 142022 0200 | 05/24/2023 | Internal Complaint | It was alleged a Detention Officer failed to follow supervisory directives regarding his early departure, and | GB2 - Command Responsibility | Unfounded | Written Reprimand | The investigation determined the allegation that an Officer did not follow a directive regarding an early departure was | Sustained | 11/12/20 |
| IA2023-0269 05/ | | | failed to leave in a timely manner after not being cleared to return to work. It was also alleged the Officer failed | GB2 - Command Responsibility | Sustained | | false or not supported by fact. Additionally, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Officer failed to be | | |
| 142023-0269 | | | | | | | truthful during the Administrative Investigation. However, the Officer violated policy when he failed to follow a directive | | |
| 142023-0269 | | | to call off from or report to work as requested by policy. It was internally alleged the Officer failed to be absolutely truthful during the Administrative Investigation. | GC1 - Leave and Absences CP5 - Truthfulness | Sustained Not-Sustained | | to leave in a timely manner, and when he did not call off from or report to work. | | |
| | 06/28/2023 | External Complaint | to call off from or report to work as requested by policy. It was internally alleged the Officer failed to be | GC1 - Leave and Absences CP5 - Truthfulness | | | | Exonerated | 11/12/20 |
| IA2023-0334 | | External Complaint External Complaint | to call off from or report to work as requested by policy. It was internally alleged the Officer failed to be absolutely truthful during the Administrative Investigation. | GC1 - Leve and Absences CP5 - Truthfulness CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Not-Sustained | Coaching | to leave in a timely manner, and when he did not call off from or report to work. The investigation determined the incident occurred, but the employees' actions were found to be within Office Policy, | Exonerated Sustained | |
| IA2023-0334 IA2024-0081 | 03/06/2024 | | to call off from or report to work as requested by policy. It was internally alleged the Officer failed to be absolutely truthful during the Administrative Investigation. The complainant alleged a Call Taker was unprofessional by hanging up on him while taking a call for service. The complainant alleged a Deputy failed to properly investigate and take a criminal damage report during a domestic violence incident. | GC1 - Leve and Absences CP5 - Truthfulness CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Not-Sustained Exonerated Sustained | Coaching Previously Resigned/Retired | to leave in a timely manner, and when he did not call off from or report to work. The investigation determined the incident occurred, but the employees' actions were found to be within Office Policy, Procedures, and Training. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a | Sustained | 11/12/20 |
| IA2023-0334 IA2024-0081 IA2024-0433 | 03/06/2024 | External Complaint | to call off from or report to work as requested by policy. It was internally alleged the Officer failed to be absolutely truthful during the Administrative Investigation. The complainant alleged a Call Taker was unprofessional by hanging up on him while taking a call for service. The complainant alleged a Deputy failed to properly investigate and take a criminal damage report during a domestic violence incident. | GC1 - Leve and Absences CP5 - Truthfulness CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Not-Sustained Exonerated Sustained | | to leave in a timely manner, and when he did not call off from or report to work. The investigation determined the incident occurred, but the employees' actions were found to be within Office Policy, Procedures, and Training. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conduction of a policy volicition. The involved employee is no longer employed, therefore the matter has been administratively closed and documented in | Sustained | 11/12/20 |
| IA2023-0334 IA2024-0081 IA2024-0433 IA2024-0500 | 03/06/2024 09/16/2024 11/04/2024 | External Complaint | to call off from or report to work as requested by policy. It was internally alleged the Officer failed to be absolutely truthful during the Administrative Investigation. The complainant alleged a Call Taker was unprofessional by hanging up on him while taking a call for service. The complainant alleged a Deputy failed to properly investigate and take a criminal damage report during a domestic violence incident. It was alleged that an Emergency Dispatcher acted unprofessionally within the MCSO dispatch center. The complainant alleged that an MCSO employee passed a threatening note from an inmate to a former CHS | GC1 - Leve and Absences CP5 - Truthfulness CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Not-Sustained Exonerated Sustained Administrative Closure | | to leave in a timely manner, and when he did not call off from or report to work. The investigation determined the incident occurred, but the employees' actions were found to be within Office Policy, Procedures, and Training. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of a policy violation. The involved employee is no longer employed, therefore the matter has been administratively closed and documented in their employment file. | Sustained n PD - Admin Closure | 11/12/2 11/12/2 11/12/2 |
| IA2023-0334 IA2024-0081 IA2024-0433 IA2024-0500 IA2020-0540 | 03/06/2024 09/16/2024 11/04/2024 10/05/2020 | External Complaint Internal Complaint External Complaint | to call off from or report to work as requested by policy. It was internally alleged the Officer failed to be absolutely truthful during the Administrative Investigation. The complainant alleged a Call Taker was unprofessional by hanging up on him while taking a call for service. The complainant alleged a Deputy failed to properly investigate and take a criminal damage report during a domestic violence incident. It was alleged that an Emergency Dispatcher acted unprofessionally within the MCSO dispatch center. The complainant alleged that an MCSO employee passed a threatening note from an inmate to a former CHS employee. | GC1 - Leve and Absences CP5 - Truthfulness CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Associations and Fraternization with Inmates or Prisoners | Not-Sustained Exonerated Sustained Administrative Closure Unfounded | | to leave in a timely manner, and when he did not call off from or report to work. The investigation determined the incident occurred, but the employees' actions were found to be within Office Policy, Procedures, and Training. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of a policy violation. The involved employee is no longer employed, therefore the matter has been administratively closed and documented in their employment file. The investigation determined the allegation was not supported by the facts. | Sustained h PD - Admin Closure Unfounded | 11/12/20 11/12/20 11/12/20 11/13/20 |
| IA2023-0334 IA2024-0081 IA2024-0433 IA2024-0530 IA2020-0540 IA2020-0550 | 03/06/2024 09/16/2024 11/04/2024 10/05/2020 08/09/2022 | External Complaint Internal Complaint External Complaint External Complaint | to call off from or report to work as requested by policy. It was internally aliged the Officer failed to be absolutely truthful during the Administrative investigation. The complainant aliged a Call Taker was unprofessional by hanging up on him while taking a call for service. The complainant aliged a Deputy Iailed to properly investigate and take a criminal damage report during a domestic violence incident. It was aliged that an Emergency Dispatcher acted unprofessionally within the MCSO dispatch center. The complainant aliged a that an MCSO employee passed a threatening note from an inmate to a former CHS employee. It is aliged a Detention Officer was discourteous towards an inmate during a bunk search. The complainant aliged a Detention Officer was rude and disrespectful during their interaction. The complainant aliged a Detention Officer was nucle and disrespectful during their interaction. | GC1 - Leve and Absences CP5 - Truthfuness CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Pailure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Associations and Fraternization with Immates or Prisoners CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Not-Sustained Exonerated Sustained Administrative Closure Unfounded Not-Sustained Not-Sustained | | to leave in a timely manner, and when he did not call off from or report to work. The investigation determined the incident occurred, but the employees' actions were found to be within Office Policy, Procedures, and Training. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable condusion of a policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the facts. The investigation determined the allegation was not supported by the facts. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. | Sustained PD - Admin Closure Unfounded Not-Sustained Not-Sustained | 11/12/20 11/12/20 11/12/20 11/13/20 11/13/20 |
| IA2023-0334 IA2024-0081 IA2024-0433 IA2024-0540 IA2020-0540 IA2022-0355 | 03/06/2024 09/16/2024 11/04/2024 10/05/2020 08/09/2022 | External Complaint Internal Complaint External Complaint External Complaint External Complaint External Complaint | to call off from or report to work as requested by policy. It was internally alleged the Officer failed to be absolutely truthful during the Administrative investigation. The complainant alleged a Call Taker was unprofessional by hanging up on him while taking a call for service. The complainant alleged a Deputy Iailed to properly investigate and take a criminal damage report during a domestic violence incident. It was alleged that an Emergency Dispatcher acted unprofessionally within the MCSO dispatch center. The complainant alleged that an MCSO employee passed a threatening note from an inmate to a former CHS employee. It is alleged a Detention Officer was discourteous towards an inmate during a bunk search. The complainant alleged a Detention Officer vas ruce and disrespectful during their interaction. The complainant alleged a Detention Officer laids to answer an emergency intercom request and his inmate request forms were not processed properly, it was further alleged a section don third Detention Officer failed to answer an emergency folfer make multiple data entry | GC1 - Leve and Absences CP5 - Truthfulness CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Associations and Fraternization with Immates or Prisoners CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor DP3 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor DP3 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor DP3 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor DP3 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor DP3 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Not-Sustained Exonerated Sustained Administrative Closure Unfounded Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained | Previously Resigned/Retired | to leave in a timely manner, and when he did not call off from or report to work. The investigation determined the incident occurred, but the employees' actions were found to be within Office Policy, Procedures, and Training. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable condusion of a policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable condusion of a policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was not supported by the facts. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation determined there was insufficient failed to properly process an inmate request form. However, the second and thrift Offerse were involution of policy for failer to conduct propers security walls and inaccurate | Sustained PD - Admin Closure Unfounded Not-Sustained Not-Sustained | 11/12/20 11/12/20 11/12/20 11/13/20 11/13/20 |
| IA2023-0334 IA2024-0081 IA2024-0433 IA2024-0540 IA2020-0540 IA2022-0355 | 03/06/2024 09/16/2024 11/04/2024 10/05/2020 08/09/2022 | External Complaint Internal Complaint External Complaint External Complaint External Complaint External Complaint | to call off from or report to work as requested by policy. It was internally alleged the Officer failed to be absolutely truthful during the Administrative Investigation. The complainant alleged a Call Taker was unprofessional by hanging up on him while taking a call for service. The complainant alleged a Deputy failed to properly investigate and take a criminal damage report during a domestic wolence incident. It was alleged that an Emergency Dispatcher acted unprofessionally within the MCSO dispatch center. The complainant alleged that an MCSO employee passed a threatening note from an inmate to a former CHS employee. It is alleged a Detention Officer was discourteous towards an inmate during a bunk search. The complainant alleged a Detention Officer was rude and disrespectful during their interaction. The complainant alleged a Detention Officer was rude and disrespectful during their interaction. | GC1 - Leve and Absences CP5 - Truthfuness CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Pailure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Associations and Fraternization with Immates or Prisoners CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Not-Sustained Exonerated Sustained Administrative Closure Unfounded Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained | Previously Resigned/Retired | to leave in a timely manner, and when he did not call off from or report to work. The investigation determined the incident occurred, but the employees' actions were found to be within Office Policy, Procedures, and Training. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of a policy volation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of a policy volation. The investigation determined the allegation was not supported by the facts. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove a Detection Officer field to answer an emergency intercon request, and a second Officer failed to propely proces and inmare request the network of the investigation. | Sustained PD - Admin Closure Unfounded Not-Sustained Not-Sustained | 11/12/20 11/12/20 11/12/20 11/12/20 11/13/20 11/13/20 |

| IA No Open date Incident type IA2023-0289 06/05/2023 Internal Complaint | Summary It was alleged a Detention Officer did not conduct her security walks on time. It was also alleged the Officer | Allegation(s)/Force Type(s) CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Outcome | Discipline Written Reprimand | Investigative Summary The investigation determined the allegation an Officer did not take appropriate action when advised by inmates of a | Disposition | Closed 11/13/202 |
|--|---|---|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--------------------------|---------------------|
| 00/03/2023 Internal complaint | entered inaccurate entries in Shield . Additionally, it was alleged two Detention Officers did not take | DH6 - Inmate Supervision, Security Walks and Headcounts | Sustained | written keprimanu | medical emergency was false or not supported by fact. There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the | Sustained | 11/13/20 |
| | appropriate action when advised by inmates of a medical emergency. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Unfounded Unfounded | | allegation that a second Officer did not take appropriate action when advised of a medical emergency. However, the first Officer violated policy when she did not conduct her security walks on time and entered inaccurate entries. | | |
| | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Not-Sustained | | | | |
| | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Not-Sustained | | | | |
| A2023-0356 07/05/2023 External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Sworn Sergeant used inappropriate force while working in an off-duty capacity. | CP1-Use of Force | Not-Sustained | | Due to a lack of evidence and conflicting statements, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. | Not-Sustained | 11/13/20 |
| A2023-0410 08/01/2023 External Complaint | The complainant alleged Deputies did not properly investigate an assault due to his gender. | CP8 - Preventing Racial and Other Biased Based profiling CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Unfounded Unfounded | | After reviewing all evidence, including body-worn camera footage, the allegations made against the Deputies was not supported by the facts. | Unfounded | 11/13/20 |
| | | CP8 - Preventing Racial and Other Blased Based profiling | Unfounded | | apported by the focus. | | |
| | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Unfounded | | | | |
| IA2023-0556 10/04/2023 Internal Complaint | It was alleged that the Sworn Sergeant did not return to his duty post following a lunch break and inaccurately reported his time. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GC8 - Compensation and Teleworking Procedures | Not-Sustained Not-Sustained | | After reviewing evidence and conducting interviews, it was determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations. | Not-Sustained | 11/13/202 |
| IA2023-0629 11/15/2023 External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Detention Officer stalked his family and threatened him. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Not-Sustained | | The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. | Not-Sustained | 11/13/202 |
| IA2024-0042 02/06/2024 External Complaint | The complainant alleged that Deputies were unprofessional during a call for service and failed to investigate an | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Unfounded | | After reviewing evidence, including body-worn camera footage, the allegations that Deputies were unprofessional and | Not-Sustained | 11/13/202 |
| | assault accusation. Additionally, the complainant alleged the deputies failed to provide their names and badge numbers when requested. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Unfounded Not-Sustained | | did not properly perform an investigation was not supported by the facts. There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove they failed to provide their names and serial number. | | |
| | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Unfounded | | | | |
| | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Unfounded | | | | |
| | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Not-Sustained | | | | |
| IA2024-0316 06/25/2024 External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Deputy harassed her by showing up to her residence uninvited. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Not-Sustained | | Due to conflicting statements, the investigation determined that there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. | Not-Sustained | 11/13/202 |
| IA2024-0317 06/26/2024 External Complaint | The complainant alleged her former husband, a Deputy, is harassing her by calling two of her family members. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Unfounded | | After conducting interviews, it was determined the allegation that the Deputy called the complainant's father was not | Not-Sustained | 11/13/202 |
| | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Not-Sustained | | supported by the facts. However, due to not being able to reach the complainant's Aunt, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation of harassment. | | |
| IA2024-0420 09/09/2024 External Complaint | The complainant alleged Detention Officers are rude, disrespectful, and unprofessional. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees | Unfounded | | The investigation determined the allegations were not supported by facts. | Unfounded | 11/13/20 |
| | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees | Unfounded | | | | |
| CIA2024-0014 05/15/2024 Internal Complaint Criminal | It was alleged a Deputy applied a suspect's signature to a citation without his knowledge. | [No Allegations] | | Exceptionally Cleared | The investigation revealed that the Deputy never contacted the Complainant to obtain a new signature on the re-issued set of clatations. The Deputy admitted to transposing the signature to the second set of clatators without contacting the Complainant to inform him of the next arges. The MCAO declined the case due to no reasonable likelihood of conviction. Case exceptionally cleared. | Criminal - Exceptionally | 11/14/20 |
| IA2018-0269 04/26/2018 Internal Complaint | It is alleged that a Deputy detained the driver longer than necessary and demanded passengers' identification | EB1 - Traffic Enforcement | Unfounded | | The investigation determined that the allegations that a Deputy detained the driver longer than necessary and demanded | Not-Sustained | 11/14/20 |
| | without reason. Additionally, it is alleged that the Deputy solicited incriminating questions and conducted a | GJ3 - Search and Seizure | Exonerated | | identification without reason was not supported by facts. Additionally, it was determined that the Deputy was within | | |
| | warrantless search of two passengers during a traffic stop. Furthermore, it is alleged that the Deputy wrote a | | Exonerated | | MCSO Policy when he solicited questions and conducted a search of the passengers. Lastly, there was insufficient | | |
| | report inconsistent with body camera footage. | GF5 - Incident Report Guidelines EB1 - Traffic Enforcement | Not-Sustained Unfounded | | evidence to prove or disprove the allegation that the report was inconsistent with body camera footage. | | |
| IA2019-0534 10/21/2019 External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Detention Officer used excessive force against him. It was also alleged the Officer refused an inmate's request for toilet paper because of his race. | CP8 - Preventing Racial and Other Biased Based profiling CP2 - Code of Conduct - Use of Force | Unfounded Unfounded | | The investigation determiend the allegation was false and not supported by the facts. | Unfounded | 11/14/20 |
| IA2020-0079 02/17/2020 External Complaint | A Detention Officer from an outside agency alleged an MCSO Officer blocked her path from exiting the room and made numerous sexual comments to her. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws | Not-Sustained Not-Sustained | | There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations. | Not-Sustained | 11/14/202 |
| IA2020-0381 07/27/2020 External Complaint | The complainants alleged that MCSO staff used excessive force and profanity against an inmate and failed to | CP1-Lise of Force | Not-Sustained | | The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove staff used excessive force and | Not-Sustained | 11/14/202 |
| | provide medical attention afterward. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Not-Sustained Unfounded | | profanity. Additionally, the allegation that staff failed to provide medical attention was false or not supported by fact. | Not Sustained | 11/14/20 |
| IA2021-0087 02/19/2021 External Complaint | The complainant alleged a SIMS Clerk took off her mask multiple times when interacting with inmates. It was | CD2 Code of Conduct. Unknowning Conduct and Bublic Democracy | Max Control and | | The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the employee made an unprofessional | Net Costeland | 11/14/202 |
| A2021-0087 02/19/2021 External Complaint | Ine complainant alleged a SIND CLERK took off her mask multiple times when interacting with inmates. It was also alleged when asked to put her mask back on by an inmate her reply was unprofessional. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Not-Sustained Unfounded | | Ine investigation determined there was insumcient evidence to prove or asprove the employee made an unprofessional comment. Furthermore, the allegation that she removed her mask was false or not supported by facts. | Not-Sustained | 11/14/20 |
| IA2022-0081 03/02/2022 External Complaint | It is alleged a Detention Officer was discourteous towards an inmate. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Sustained | Written Reprimand | The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of a policy violation. | Sustained | 11/14/202 |
| IA2024-0028 01/24/2024 External Complaint | The complainant alleged Deputies and Sworn Sergeant failed to make reasonable decisions during a burglary call for service. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Sustained | Coaching | The investigation determined three Deputies and the Sergeant violated policy for failing to take appropriate action during a call for service. Furthermore, the allegation that the third Deputy failed to take action was false or not supported by | Sustained | 11/14/202 |
| | can for active. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Sustained | Written Reprimand | facts. | | |
| | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Sustained | Written Reprimand | | | |
| | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Unfounded | | | | |
| IA2024-0296 06/18/2024 Internal Complaint | It was alleged a Deputy reported to work under the influence of alcohol, driving while intoxicated, and | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Sustained | Written Reprimand | The investigation determined the allocations were supported to the ended of the order of the ord | Sustained | 11/14/20 |
| incoza-oziso 00/16/2024 internal complaint | It was alleged a Deputy reported to work under the influence of alconol, driving while intoxicated, and committed the offense of an extreme DUI. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Alconol CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws | Sustained | Employee rerminated | The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. | Justamed | 11/14/202 |
| | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws | Sustained | | | | |
| | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws | Sustained Sustained | | | | |
| CI2016-0009 11/01/2016 Critical Incident | A critical incident investigation was initiated to review the death of a detainee that occurred on 11/01/2016 in | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Sustained | Previously Resigned/Retired | The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a | Sustained | 11/15/20 |
| | the back of a partol vehicle. During the investigation, it was alleged the Deputy failed to assume proper care of the suspect in custody, did not conform to work standards for his position, and failed to keep his body-worn camera on during the entire event. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Treatment of Persons in Custody GJ35 - Body-Worn Cameras | Sustained Sustained | | reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| IA2021-0661 12/20/2021 External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Deputy placed paperwork in his mailbox and, therefore, violated federal law becaus | e CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws | Unfounded | | The investigation determined the Deputy was within Office policy, procedure, and training when he placed paperwork in | Exonerated | 11/15/202 |

| | | Incident type | Summary | Allegation(s)/Force Type(s) | Outcome | Discipline | Investigative Summary | Disposition | Closed |
|--------------------|----------|-----------------------------|---|---|--|-----------------------------|---|-------------------|------------|
| IA2023-0314 06/15 | 5/2023 1 | Internal Complaint | It was alleged a Deputy made an inappropriate comment regarding sexual orientation on two occasions. | CP3 - Workplace Professionalism CP3 - Workplace Professionalism | Sustained Sustained | Coaching Coaching | The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. | Sustained | 11/15/2024 |
| A2023-0368 07/13 | 8/2023 E | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Deputy displayed unprofessional behavior during a call for service. It was further alleged he also lunged at the complainant. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Unfounded Sustained | Previously Resigned/Retired | The investigation determined the former Deputy violated policy by being unprofessional. However, after reviewing body- worn camera footage, the allegation that the Deputy lunged at the complainant was faise or not supported by facts. | Sustained | 11/15/2024 |
| IA2024-0377 08/07 | 7/2024 1 | Internal Complaint | It was alleged a Detention Officer acted in an unprofessional manner, failed to make a reasonable decision resulting in a situation with an inmate escalating and used unreasonable force towards an inmate. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Use of Force | Sustained Sustained Sustained | Previously Resigned/Retired | The investigation determined the preponderance of the evidence supported the allegations, justifying a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. | Sustained | 11/15/2024 |
| CI2022-0027 08/01 | 1/2022 0 | Critical Incident | On 7/30/2022 at approximately 0341 hours an inmate was found unresponsive and not breathing in the court waiting area at the intake, Transfer and Release facility. He was later pronounced deceased at 0410 hours. | | Exonerated | | The investigation found there was no employee involvement in the inmate's death, and the manner of death was deemed accidental. | Exonerated | 11/18/2024 |
| CIA2024-0018 06/19 | 9/2024 I | Internal Complaint Criminal | It was alleged a Deputy was driving while intoxicated and reported to work under the influence. | [No Allegations] | | Cleared By Arrest | The investigation showed that the Deputy was observed driving in MCSO uniform by a witness, apparently under the influence. A blood sample was obtained and confirmed DUI. Charges were accepted by MCAO. Case Cleared by Arrest. | Criminal - Arrest | 11/18/2024 |
| IA2018-0649 10/31 | L/2018 I | Internal Complaint | It was alleged a Detention Officer used inappropriate force towards a disruptive inmate. | CP1-Use of Force | Unfounded | | The investigation determined the allegation was not supported by the facts. | Unfounded | 11/18/2024 |
| IA2019-0076 02/21 | L/2019 E | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Deputy pinched his arm. | CP1-Use of Force | Not-Sustained | | The invesitgation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. | Not-Sustained | 11/18/2024 |
| IA2019-0401 08/06 | 5/2019 E | External Complaint | The complainant alleged that various employee(s) answering the jail information line were "unprofessional" when she called for housing location information about an inmate in custody. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Not-Sustained | | Due to the lack of information provided by the complainant, an employee could not be identified. Therefore, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation due to a lack of information and evidence. | Not-Sustained | 11/18/2024 |
| IA2020-0694 12/17 | 7/2020 E | External Complaint | The complainants alleged that a Detention Officer mistreated an inmate and revealed to another inmate that he was a former law enforcement officer. It was also alleged that a second unknown Officer intentionally | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP11 - Anti-Retallation | Not-Sustained Unfounded | | The investigation determined that the allegations of an Officer mistreating an inmate and revealing sensitive information were not supported by the facts. Additionally, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove an Officer placed the | Not-Sustained | 11/18/2024 |
| | | | placed the inmate with a mentally unstable individual and refused to acknowledge him. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Unfounded | | inmate with a mentally unstable individual and refused to acknowledge him. | | |
| IA2022-0235 05/31 | L/2022 E | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Deputy was unprofessional by scaring and offending her. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Unfounded | | The investigation determined the allegation was false or not supported by fact. | Unfounded | 11/18/2024 |
| IA2024-0208 04/30 |)/2024 I | Internal Complaint | It was alleged a Detention Officer failed to take any action pertaining to an inmate fight. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Sustained | Written Reprimand | The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of a policy violation. | Sustained | 11/18/2024 |
| IA2024-0397 08/27 | 7/2024 1 | Internal Complaint | It is alleged that a Detention Officer failed to take care of Maricopa County issued equipment. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Care and Use of Office or County Equipment | Sustained | Previously Resigned/Retired | The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of policy violation. | Sustained | 11/18/2024 |
| IA2024-0401 08/28 | 3/2024 1 | Internal Complaint | It was alleged a Detention Officer left an inmate in a courtroom unattended with court staff present. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Sustained | Previously Resigned/Retired | The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of policy violation. | Sustained | 11/18/2024 |
| IA2024-0411 09/04 | 1/2024 I | Internal Complaint | It was alleged that an SIMS Inmate Release Specialist has been parking her personal vehicle within 20 feet of a crosswalk at an intersection. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws | Sustained | Coaching | The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of a policy violation. | Sustained | 11/18/2024 |
| IA2024-0457 10/04 | 1/2024 I | Internal Complaint | It was alleged that a Detention Officer Trainee failed to provide accurate information through the hiring process. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Truthfulness | Sustained | Previously Resigned/Retired | The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of policy violation. | Sustained | 11/18/2024 |
| IA2024-0237 05/20 | 0/2024 E | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Detention Officer used excessive force and was discourteous towards him. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP1-Use of Force | Sustained Unfounded | Coaching | The investigation determined the Officer did not use excessive force, therefore the allegation is false or not supported by facts. However, the Officer violated policy when using unprofessional language toward the complainant. | Sustained | 11/19/2024 |
| IA2024-0350 07/16 | 5/2024 I | Internal Complaint | It was alleged a Detention Officer Trainee withheld information through the hiring process regarding her medical history. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Truthfulness | Sustained | Probationary Release | The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of policy violation. | Sustained | 11/19/2024 |
| IA2024-0363 07/23 | 3/2024 1 | Internal Complaint | It was alleged that a Detention Officer was sleeping on duty. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Sleeping On-Duty | Sustained | Employee Suspended | The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of policy violation. | Sustained | 11/19/2024 |
| IA2018-0470 07/19 | 9/2018 E | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Deputy Trainee has been abusive with his ex-wife. It was also alleged he allowed his children to be physically abused. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws | Not-Sustained Not-Sustained | | There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations. | Unfounded | 11/20/2024 |
| IA2018-0732 12/31 | L/2018 E | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Sworn Sergeant mistreated her based on her gender and arrested her without probable cause during a domestic violence call for service. It was also alleged a Deputy failed to accurately document the call for service in a report. During the investigation, It was alleged the Sergeant failed to properly provide direction to deputies, and did not document his involvement with the investigation. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor EA11 - Arrest procedures B02 - Command Responsibility GF5 - Incident Report Guidelines | Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained | Written Reprimand | The investigation determined the Deputy violated policy when he failed to accurately document in the incident report. Furthermore, there was insufficient to prove or disprove the Sergeant mistreated the complainant based on gender and arrested her wintor probable cause. Nowever, the Sergeant was found in violation of policy when he failed to provide direction to deputes, and failed to properly document his involvment. | Sustained | 11/20/2024 |
| | | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Sustained | Coaching | | | |
| IA2019-0177 04/17 | //2019 | Internal Complaint | It was alleged that a Dispatch Supervisor belittled an employee, failed to offer performance guidance when needed, and prevented the employee from seeking assistance. It was also alleged a Dispatch Supervisor alleged a Detention Officer was not meeting standards, was rude during their discussions of work performance, and pushed her from behind. | | Not-Sustained Unfounded Not-Sustained | | The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Dispatch Supervisor was belitting and prohibited are amployee from seeking assistance. The allegation that the Supervisor failed to provide performance guidance was failse or not supported by fasts. Additionally, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Officer was rule, on to mening standards, and physically purched the supervisor. | Not-Sustained | 11/20/2024 |
| | | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees | Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained | | | | |
| IA2020-0087 02/24 | 1/2020 I | Internal Complaint | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees | Unfounded | | The investigation determined the allegation was false or not supported by fact. | Unfounded | 11/20/2024 |
| IA2020-0178 04/15 | 5/2020 1 | Internal Complaint | opportunity. It was alleged a Detention Officer left early without permission and did not immediately clock out using her phone. | GC8 - Compensation and the ADP System GC1 - Leave and Absences | Sustained Sustained | Previously Resigned/Retired | The investigation determined there was a preponderance of evidence to justify a reasonable conclusion that the Officer violated policy. | Sustained | 11/20/2024 |
| IA2020-0411 08/07 | 7/2020 E | External Complaint | The complainant alleged an Unknown male Detention Officer performed a cavity search every 15 minutes while she was in a safe cell. | GJ28 - Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) | Unfounded | | The investigation determined the allegation was false or not supported by fact. | Unfounded | 11/20/2024 |
| IA2022-0141 04/11 | L/2022 E | External Complaint | The complainant alleged Deputies were biased and took inappropriate actions during a call for service. Additionally, it was internally alleged that one of the Deputies failed to activate his Body-Worn camera. | CP8 - Preventing Racial and Other Blased Based profiling G135 - Body-Worn Cameras CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Unfounded Not-Sustained Unfounded | | The investigation determined the allegations that Deputies were biased and took inappropriate actions were not supported by facts. Additionally, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove that a Deputy failed to activate his camera. | Not-Sustained | 11/20/2024 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | CP8 - Preventing Racial and Other Blased Based profiling CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Unfounded Unfounded | | | | |

| 23-0250 05/15/2023 In 24-0189 04/25/2024 In 24-0361 07/22/2024 In 24-0409 09/04/2024 Es 18-0002 01/02/2018 In 18-0673 11/16/2018 In 20-0168 04/10/2020 Es | nternal Complaint nternal Complaint | It was alleged a Detention Officer failed to make a reasonable decision when he allowed inmates to manupulate a facility door. During the investigation, it was alleged the Detention Officer failed to make a reasonable decision when he performed his job duty without the proper equipment. It was alleged two employees from the Technology Bureau allowed an unsecured device to be connected to MCSO's secure network. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Sustained Sustained Unfounded | Written Reprimand | The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. | Sustained | 11/20/2024 |
|---|---|---|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|---------------------|------------|
| 24-0361 07/22/2024 in 24-0409 09/04/2024 Ex 18-0002 01/02/2018 in 18-0673 11/16/2018 in | nternal Complaint | decision when he performed his job duty without the proper equipment. It was alleged two employees from the Technology Bureau allowed an unsecured device to be connected to MCSO's secure network. | | | | reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. | | |
| 24-0361 07/22/2024 in 24-0409 09/04/2024 Ex 8-0002 01/02/2018 in 18-0673 11/16/2018 in | nternal Complaint | It was alleged two employees from the Technology Bureau allowed an unsecured device to be connected to MCSO's secure network. | GM1 - Electronic Communications and Voice mail | Unformediad | | | | |
| -0361 07/22/2024 in -0409 09/04/2024 Ex -0002 01/02/2018 in -0673 11/16/2018 in | nternal Complaint | MCSO's secure network. | GM1 - Electronic Communications and Voice mail | Lister and ad | | | | |
| 3361 07/22/2024 in 3409 09/04/2024 Ex 3002 01/02/2018 in 3673 11/16/2018 in | nternal Complaint | MCSO's secure network. | Givit - Electronic communications and voice main | | | The investigation determined the allegations were not supported by facts. | Unfounded | 11/20/202 |
| 0409 09/04/2024 Ex 0002 01/02/2018 In 0673 11/16/2018 In | | | | oniounded | | The investigation determined the allegations were not supported by facts. | omounded | 11/20/20. |
| 0409 09/04/2024 Ex 0002 01/02/2018 In 0673 11/16/2018 In | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Unfounded | | | | |
| 0409 09/04/2024 Ex 0002 01/02/2018 In 0673 11/16/2018 In | | | | | | | | |
| 0002 01/02/2018 In 0673 11/16/2018 In | External Complaint | It was alleged a Detention Officer had several unauthorized absences in the month of June. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Sustained | Written Reprimand | The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a | Sustained | 11/20/202 |
| 0002 01/02/2018 In 0673 11/16/2018 In | External Complaint | | | | | reasonable conclusion of policy violation. | | |
| 0673 11/16/2018 In | | The complainant alleged Deputies conducted traffic stops on her son's vehicle because of his and the | CP8 - Preventing Racial and Other Blased Based profiling | Unfounded | | The investigation determined the allegations were false or not supported by facts. | Unfounded | 11/20/202 |
| 0673 11/16/2018 In | | passengers race. It was also alleged a Deputy cited the driver, her son, for a violation that did not occur. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Unfounded | | | | |
| -0673 11/16/2018 In | | | | | | | | |
| 8-0673 11/16/2018 In | | It was alleged a Sworn Captain committed a domestic violence assault on his brother. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws | | Previously Resigned/Retired | The investigation determined the preponderance of the evidence supported the allegation and justified a reasonable | Sustained | 11/21/202 |
| | nternal complaint | It was alleged a sworn captain committed a domestic violence assault on his brother. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws | Sustained | Previously Resigned/Retired | conclusion of a policy violation. | Sustained | 11/21/202 |
| ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | | | | | | | | |
| 0168 04/10/2020 Ex | 2018-0673 11/16/2018 Internal Complaint | It was alleged a Detention Officer displayed a firearm in front of an inmate. It was also alleged the Detention | | Sustained | Written Reprimand | The investigation determined the Officer violated policy when he displayed a firearm in front of an inmate while showing | | 11/21/202 |
| -0168 04/10/2020 Ex | | Officer possessed a secondary firearm while on-duty and in MCSO uniform. | GJ23 - Firearms | Not-Sustained | | it to another Officer. Furthermore, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Officer possessed a secondary firearm while on-duty and in MCSO uniform. | | |
| 0168 04/10/2020 Ex | | | | | | meann while off-duty and in west dimonn. | | |
| | External Complaint | The complainants alleged that two Officers retailated against them for filing grievances. It was also alleged the | | Sustained | Previously Resigned/Retired | The investigation determined the allegations of retaliation were false or not supported by facts. Furthermore, there was | Sustained | 11/21/202 |
| | | Officers intimated them by screaming, and one of the Officers made inappropriate comments. Additionally, the | | Unfounded | | insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Officer intimidated or made inappropriate comments. However, Officers | | |
| | | complainants alleged that the Officers had failed to provide them with hygiene items. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Not-Sustained | | violated policy by failing to provide hygiene items. | | |
| | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Not-Sustained | | | | |
| | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Treatment of Persons in Custody | Sustained | | | | |
| | | | CP11 - Anti-Retallation | Unfounded | | | | |
| | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Not-Sustained | | | | |
| 0314 06/22/2020 Ex | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws | Not-Sustained | | The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. | Not-Sustained | 11/21/20 |
| J314 06/22/2020 EX | external complaint | It was reported a Deputy Service Alde was being investigated for a domestic violence assault. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws | Not-Sustained | | The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the anegation. | Not-Sustained | 11/21/20 |
| 0474 09/08/2020 Ex | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Deputy failed to process the scene of a vehicle accident appropriately. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives | Unfounded | | The investigation determined the allegation was false or not supported by fact. | Unfounded | 11/21/20 |
| 23 06/15/2023 In | nternal Complaint | It was alleged a Deputy was unethical, failing to conform to established laws and Office directives when he claimed time | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives | Sustained | Previously Terminated | The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a | Sustained | 11/21/202 |
| 525 00) 15/2025 III | incinar complaint | for an off-duty job he did not work between 01/01/2023 and 01/31/2023. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives | Sustained | reveau reminated | reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. | Justimed | 11/11/101 |
| | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws | Sustained | | | | |
| | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unethical Conduct | Sustained | | | | |
| | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives | Sustained Sustained | | | | |
| | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives | Sustained | | | | |
| | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives | Sustained | | | | |
| | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives | Sustained | | | | |
| | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives | Sustained | | | | |
| | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives | Sustained Sustained | | | | |
| | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives | Sustained | | | | |
| | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives | Sustained | | | | |
| | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives | Sustained | | | | |
| | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives | Sustained | | | | |
| | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives | Sustained | | | | |
| | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives | Sustained Sustained | | | | |
| | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives | Sustained | | | | |
| | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives | Sustained | | | | |
| | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives | Sustained | | | | |
| | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives | Sustained | | | | |
| | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives | Sustained Sustained | | | | |
| | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives | Sustained | | | | |
| | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives | Sustained | | | | |
| | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives | Sustained | | | | |
| | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives | Sustained Sustained | | | | |
| | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws | Sustained | Previously Terminated | | | |
| 1324 06/15/2023 In | nternal Complaint | It was alleged a Deputy was unethical, failing to conform to established laws and Office directives, when he claimed time for an off-duty job he did not work between 02/01/2023 and 02/10/2023. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unethical Conduct | Sustained | Previously Terminated | The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. | Sustained | 11/21/20 |
| | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives | Sustained | | readinable conclusion of manaple policy monatoria. | | |
| | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives | Sustained | | | | |
| | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives | Sustained | | | | |
| | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives | Sustained | | | | |
| | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives | Sustained | | | | |
| 160 08/38/3033 5- | internal Complaint | A complainant alleged a Deputy was discourteous and caused unnecessary delays and questioning because of | C02 Code of Conduct Unbecoming Conduct and Public Domenter | Unfounded | | The investigation determined the allegations were false or not supported by facts. | Unfounded | 11/21/20 |
| 0469 08/28/2023 Ex | external Complaint | A complainant alleged a Deputy was discourteous and caused unnecessary delays and questioning because of their race. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP8 - Preventing Racial and Other Biased Based profiling | Unfounded | | The investigation determined the allegations were taise or not supported by facts. | omounded | 11/21/20 |
| | | | | Smoundea | | | | |
| 1508 09/13/2023 In | nternal Complaint | It was alleged a Property Management Commander and Supervisor made discourteous remarks to or about | CP3 - Workplace Professionalism | Unfounded | | The investigation determined the allegation that a commander made discourteous remarks was false or not supported | Not-Sustained | 11/21/20 |
| | | employees. | CP3 - Workplace Professionalism | Not-Sustained | | by facts. Additionally, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove a supervisor made discourteous remarks. | | |
| | | | CF3 - WOLKPIACE PROJESSIONAIISM | wor-Sustained | | | | |
| 281 06/11/2024 Ex | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Detention Officer was rude and unprofessional during their interaction. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Sustained | Coaching | The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a | Sustained | 11/21/202 |
| | | | | | | reasonable conclusion of a policy violation. | | ,, |
| | | | | | | | | 11/22/202 |
| -0332 06/28/2023 Ex | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Deputy did not trespass an individual due to being biased. It was also alleged that a Lieutenant did not take appropriate disciplinary action against the Deputy. | CP8 - Preventing Racial and Other Biased Based profiling CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Unfounded | | The investigation determined the allegations were false or not supported by fact. | Unfounded | 11/22/202 |
| | | cleutenant did not take appropriate disciplinary action against the Deputy. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Uniounded | | | | |
| | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Unfounded | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| -0025 10/03/2024 In | nternal Complaint Criminal | It was alleged that a Peer Support Specialist committed physical assault of a co-worker. | [No Allegations] | | Inactive | The investigation concluded that there was no collaborating evidence to support the allegation made. The case was | Criminal - Inactive | 11/25/202 |
| | | | | | | cleared as Inactive. | | |
| 0663 11/13/2018 Ex | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Deputy physically abused his children by locking the children in their bedroom | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws | Not-Sustained | | The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations. | Not-Sustained | 11/25/202 |
| , ,, | | overnight and restricting access to the bathroom. It was also alleged the Deputy physically abused his children | | Not-Sustained | | - | | ,, |

| IA2019-0390 | | Incident type External Complaint | Summary The complainant alleged a Detention Officer was rude to her when he pulled the shade down on the visitation | Allegation(s)/Force Type(s) CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Outcome Not-Sustained | Discipline | Investigative Summary The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove two Detention Sergeants snickered at | Disposition Not-Sustained | Closed 11/25/202 |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| 12013 0350 | 07/51/1015 | External complaint | window preventing further communication between thew. The complianant also alleged two Detention Sergeants "snickered" at her upon telling her she needed to leave the facility because of an active warrant. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Not-Sustained | | the complainant. Furthermore, the allegation that a Detention Officer was rude by pulling the shade down to prevent communication was false or not supported by fact. | Not Sustained | 11/13/10 |
| | | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Unfounded | | | | |
| 2020-0024 | 01/16/2020 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Detention Officer punched her in the face while in MCSO custody in 2006. | CP1-Use of Force | Exonerated | | The investigation determined the incident occurred, but the Officer's actions were within Office policy, procedures, and training. | Exonerated | 11/25/20 |
| 2020-0041 | 01/24/2020 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged an Employee altered his confinement order. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws | Unfounded | | The investigation determined the allegation was false or not supported by fact. | Unfounded | 11/25/20 |
| 2021-0193 | 04/16/2021 | Internal Complaint | It was alleged that fellow Detention Officers did not assist the complainant during an incident involving a | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Unfounded | | The investigation determined the allegations were false or not supported by facts. | Unfounded | 11/25/20 |
| | | combative inmate. It was also alleged a Lieutenant failed to take the appropriate actions to keep the complainant safe from an inmate. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Unfounded | | | | | |
| | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Unfounded | | | | | |
| | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Unfounded | | | | | |
| A2021-0364 | 07/12/2021 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Deputy was rude and failed to provide his name. She also alleged a second Deputy questioned her father after he invoked his rights to remain silent. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Not-Sustained Not-Sustained | | The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove a Deputy was rude and failed to provide his name. Furthermore, the allegation that a second Deputy violated Miranda rights was not supported by facts. | Not-Sustained | 11/25/20 |
| | | | | GJ7 - Criminal Investigations: Operations | Unfounded | | | | |
| A2021-0392 | 07/26/2021 | Internal Complaint | It was alleged a Detention Officer left her assigned duty post prior to the end of her shift without permission or multiple occasions. It was also alleged that the Officer failed to make a reasonable decision when she secured | | Sustained Unfounded | Coaching | The investigation determined that the Officer violated policy when she placed her duty gear in her car and used her personal phone to clock out. However, the allegation that she left her duty post without permission was false or not | Sustained | 11/25/202 |
| | | | her duty beit and radio in her car before the end of her shift. It was further alleged she failed to follow a directive and used her personal cell phone to clock out. | GB2 - Command Responsibility | Sustained | | supported by facts. | | |
| A2021-0412 | 08/10/2021 | Internal Complaint | It was alleged a Detention Sergeant did not process time sensitive documentation in a timely manner. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Not-Sustained | | The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. | Not-Sustained | 11/25/202 |
| A2022-0027 | 01/25/2022 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Sworn Lieutenant and Deputies were unprofessional during a call for service. It was also alleged Deputies stated they would arrest him and his son for misuse of 911. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Unfounded Exonerated | | The investigation determined Deputies' actions were within Office policy, procedure, and training when stating the consequences of misuse of 911. The allegation that Deputies were unprofessional was false or not supported by facts. | Exonerated | 11/25/202 |
| | | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Unfounded | | | | |
| | | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Unfounded | | | | |
| | | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Exonerated | | | | |
| IA2023-0362 | 07/10/2023 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged that a Deputy failed to conduct a proper civil standby, was rude, and did not wish to speak with her because of her gender. | CP8 - Preventing Racial and Other Biased Based profiling | Unfounded Unfounded | | The investigation determined that the Deputy's actions during the civil standby were within Office policy, procedure, and training. Additionally, the allegations that he was rude and did not wish to speak, were false or not supported by facts. | Exonerated | 11/25/202 |
| | | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives | Exonerated | | | | |
| IA2023-0369 | 07/13/2023 | Internal Complaint | It was alleged an Inmate Classification Specialist logged unprofessional comments in to SHIELD. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees | Sustained | Written Reprimand | The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of a policy violation. | Sustained | 11/25/2024 |
| IA2023-0500 | 09/11/2023 | Internal Complaint | It was alleged two Detention Officers failed to complete proper security walks and log entries. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Sustained | Coaching | The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of policy violations. | Sustained | 11/25/2024 |
| | | | | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Sustained | Previously Resigned/Retired | | | |
| A2023-0531 09/22/2023 E | | | | | | | | | |
| | 09/22/2023 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged that a Deputy was unprofessional, and a second Deputy yelled in his face and failed to do his job properly. The complainant also alleged a third Deputy told him he wouldn't be arrested if he refused to sign a citation. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Unfounded Unfounded | | The allegations that a Deputy was unprofessional, and a second Deputy yelled and failed to do his job properly, were determined false or not supported by facts. Furthermore, the third Deputy was within MCSO Office Policy and Procedure when stating the complianant would not be arrested. | Exonerated | 11/25/2024 |
| | 09/22/2023 | External Complaint | do his job properly. The complainant also alleged a third Deputy told him he wouldn't be arrested if he refused | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Unfounded | | determined false or not supported by facts. Furthermore, the third Deputy was within MCSO Office Policy and Procedure | Exonerated | 11/25/2024 |
| | | | do his job properly. The complainant also alleged a third Deputy told him he wouldn't be arrested if he refused to sign a citation. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Unfounded Exonerated Unfounded | | determined false or not supported by facts. Furthermore, the third Deputy was within MCSO Office Policy and Procedure when stating the complainant would not be arrested. | | |
| IA2023-0543 | | External Complaint | do his job properly. The complainant also alleged a third Deputy told him he wouldn't be arrested if he refused to sign a citation. The first Complainant alleged a Detention Officer made an inappropriate comment about him to an immate. The second Complainant alleged a Detention Officer retailated against him by submitting complains. It was | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees | Unfounded Exonerated Unfounded Unfounded | Coaching | determined fails or not supported by facts. Furthermore, the third Deputy was within MCSO Office Policy and Procedure when stating the complainant would not be arrested. | | |
| IA2023-0543 | | | do his job properly. The complainant also alleged a third Deputy told him he wouldn't be arrested if he refused to sign a citation. The first Complainant alleged a Detention Officer made an inappropriate comment about him to an inmate. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Unfounded Exonerated Unfounded | Coaching | determined failse or not supported by facts. Furthermore, the third Deputy was within MCSO Office Policy and Procedure when stating the complainant would not be arrested. | | |
| | 09/26/2023 | | do his job properly. The complainant also alleged a third Deputy told him he wouldn't be arrested if he refused to sign a citation. The first Complainant alleged a Detention Officer made an inappropriate comment about him to an inmate. The second Complainant alleged a Detention Officer realized against him by submitting complainst. It was further alleged the second Detention Officer roted he second activity of for sort and are of a jail | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP11 - Anti-Retailation | Unfounded Exonerated Unfounded Unfounded Not-Sustained | Coaching Employee Suspended | determined fails or not supported by facts. Furthermore, the third Deputy was within MCSO Office Policy and Procedure when stating the complainant would not be arrested. The investigation determined the allegation a Detention Officer made an inappropriate comment about a coworker to an inmate was not supported by the facts. Furthermore, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the second Officer restalest against him by submitting complaints. The second Officer violated policy by bringing a cell phone to a secured area of the facility. | | 11/25/2024 |
| IA2023-0565 | 09/26/2023 | Internal Complaint | do his job properly. The complainant also alleged a third Deputy told him he wouldn't be arrested if he refused to sign a citation. The first Complainant alleged a Detention Officer made an inappropriate comment about him to an immate. The second Complainant alleged a Detention Officer realiated against him by submitting complaints. It was further alleged the second Detention Officer brought his personal cell phone in to a secured area of a jail facility. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP1 - Antl-Retailation CP2 - Code of Conduct - Porhbited Items Entering Secured Juli Facilities CP2 - Code of Conduct - Porhbited Items Entering Secured Juli Facilities CP2 - Code of Conduct - Porhbited Items Entering Secured Juli Facilities | Unfounded Exonerated Unfounded Unfounded Sustained Sustained | | determined fails or not supported by facts. Furthermore, the third Deputy was within MCSO Office Policy and Procedure when stating the complainant would not be arrested. The investigation determined the allegation a Detention Officer made an inappropriate comment about a coworker to an innate was not supported by the facts. Furthermore, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the second Officer relalisted against him by submitting complaints. The second Officer violated policy by bringing a cell phone to a secured area or the facility. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of policy violations. | Sustained | 11/25/2024 11/25/2024 |
| IA2023-0565 IA2024-0220 | 09/26/2023 10/11/2023 05/09/2024 | Internal Complaint | do his job properly. The complainant also alleged a third Deputy told him he wouldn't be arrested if he refused to sign a citation. The first Complainant alleged a Detention Officer made an inappropriate comment about him to an immate. The second Complainant alleged a Detention Officer realiated against him by submitting complainst. It was further alleged the second Detention Officer brought his personal cell phone in to a secured area of a jail facility. It was alleged a Detention Officer was arrested for disorderly conduct and causing criminal damage to property. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP1 - Anti-Retailation CP2 - Code of Conduct - Prohibited Items Entering Secured Juli Facilities CP2 - Code of Conduct - Prohibited Items Entering Secured Juli Facilities CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws | Unfounded Exonerated Unfounded Unfounded Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained | Employee Suspended | determined faile or not supported by facts. Furthermore, the third Deputy was within MCSO Office Policy and Procedure when stating the complainant would not be arrested. The investigation determined the allegation a Detention Officer made an inappropriate comment about a covervier to an immate was not supported by the facts. Furthermore, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the second Officer realized against him by submitting complaints. The second Officer violated policy by bringing a cell phone to a secured area of the facility. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of evidence and justified a reasonable conducion of policy violations. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conducion of a policy violation. | Sustained | 11/25/2024 11/25/2024 11/25/2024 |
| IA2023-0565 IA2024-0220 IA2024-0379 | 09/26/2023 10/11/2023 05/09/2024 08/07/2024 | Internal Complaint Internal Complaint External Complaint | do his job properly. The complainant also alleged a third Deputy told him he wouldn't be arrested if he refused to sign a ditation. The first Complainant alleged a Detention Officer made an inappropriate comment about him to an immate. The second Complainant alleged a Detention Officer realiated against him by submitting complaints. It was further alleged the second Detention Officer brought his personal cell phone in to a secured area of a jail facility. It was alleged a Detention Officer was arrested for disorderly conduct and causing criminal damage to property. It is alleged an Emergency Dispatcher failed to enter a vehicle in ACIC as a stored vehicle. It was alleged a Detention Officer had several unauthorized absences in the month of July. It was reported a SIMS Inmate Release Specialist failed to conform to established laws when he committed an | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Public Demeanor CP3 - Code of Conduct - Public Dema Entering Secured Jail Facilities CP3 - Code of Conduct - Public Dema Entering Secured Jail Facilities CP3 - Code of Conduct - Public Dema Entering Secured Jail Facilities CP3 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Jaws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP3 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP3 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP3 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP3 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP3 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP3 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP4 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP4 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP4 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP4 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP4 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP4 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP4 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP4 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP4 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP4 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP4 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP4 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP4 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP4 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP4 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP4 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP4 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP4 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to | Unfounded Exonerated Unfounded Unfounded Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained | Employee Suspended | determined faile or not supported by facts. Furthermore, the third Deputy was within MCSO Office Policy and Procedure when stating the complainant would not be arrested. The investigation determined the allegation a Detention Officer made an inappropriate comment about a coworker to an inmate was not supported by the facts. Furthermore, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the second Officer relaxied against him by submitting complaints. The second Officer violated policy by bringing a cell phone to a secured area or the facility. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of policy violation. | Sustained Sustained Sustained | 11/25/2024 11/25/2024 11/25/2024 11/25/2024 |
| IA2023-0565 IA2024-0220 IA2024-0379 | 09/26/2023 10/11/2023 05/09/2024 08/07/2024 | Internal Complaint Internal Complaint External Complaint Internal Complaint | do his job properly. The complainant also alleged a third Deputy told him he wouldn't be arrested if he refused to sign a citation. The first Complainant alleged a Detention Officer made an inappropriate comment about him to an immate. The second Complainant alleged a Detention Officer realiated against him by submitting complainst. It was further alleged the second Detention Officer brought his personal cell phone in to a secured area of a jail facility. It was alleged a Detention Officer was arrested for disorderly conduct and causing criminal damage to property. It is alleged a Detention Officer failed to enter a vehicle in ACIC as a stored vehicle. It was alleged a Detention Officer had several unauthorized absences in the month of July. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Public Demeanor CP3 - Code of Conduct - Public Dema Entering Secured Jail Facilities CP3 - Code of Conduct - Public Dema Entering Secured Jail Facilities CP3 - Code of Conduct - Public Dema Entering Secured Jail Facilities CP3 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Jaws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP3 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP3 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP3 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP3 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP3 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP3 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP4 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP4 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP4 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP4 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP4 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP4 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP4 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP4 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP4 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP4 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP4 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP4 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP4 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP4 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP4 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP4 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP4 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP4 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to | Unfounded Exonerated Unfounded NotSustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained | Employee Suspended Coaching Written Reprimand | determined faile or not supported by facts. Furthermore, the third Deputy was within MCSO Office Policy and Procedure when stating the complainant would not be arrested. The investigation determined the allegation a Detention Officer made an inappropriate comment about a coworker to an inmate was not supported by the facts. Furthermore, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the second Officer relatived against thin by submitting complaints. The second Officer violated policy by bringing a cell phone to a secured area of the facility. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of a policy violation. | Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained | 11/25/2024 11/25/2024 11/25/2024 |
| IA2023-0565 IA2024-0220 IA2024-0379 IA2024-0372 | 09/26/2023 10/11/2023 05/09/2024 08/07/2024 07/31/2024 | Internal Complaint Internal Complaint External Complaint Internal Complaint | do his job properly. The complainant also alleged a third Deputy told him he wouldn't be arrested if he refused to sign a citation. The first Complainant alleged a Detention Officer made an inappropriate comment about him to an immate. The second Complainant alleged a Detention Officer realiated against him by submitting complainst. It was further alleged the second Detention Officer brought his personal cell phone in to a secured area of a jail facility. It was alleged a Detention Officer was arrested for disorderly conduct and causing criminal damage to property. It is alleged a Detention Officer had several unauthorized absences in the month of July. It was alleged a Detention Officer had several unauthorized absences in the month of July. It was alleged a Detention Officer had several unauthorized absences in the month of July. It was alleged a SIMS Immate Release Specialist failed to onform to established laws when he committed an assault. It was alleged a soll and properties the imployee failed to ontify his supervisors folice ontacts on two separate | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP1 - And - Realiation CP2 - Code of Conduct - Prohibited Items: Entering Secured Jali Facilities CP2 - Code of Conduct - Prohibited Items: Entering Secured Jali Facilities CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Report Systems Informate CP2 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Systems Informate CP3 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Systems Informate CP3 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Systems Informate CP3 - Code of Conduct - Report Systems Informate CP3 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Systems Informate CP3 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Systems Informate CP3 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Systems Informate CP3 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Systems Informate CP3 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Systems Informate CP3 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Systems Informate CP3 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Systems Informate CP3 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Systems Informate CP3 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Systems Informate CP3 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Systems Informate CP3 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Systems Informate CP3 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Systems Informate CP3 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Systems Informate CP4 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Systems Informate CP4 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Systems Informate CP4 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Systems Informate CP4 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Systems Informate CP4 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Systems Informate CP4 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Systems Informate CP4 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Systems Informate CP4 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Systems Informate CP4 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Systems Informate CP4 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Systems Informate CP4 - Code o | Unfounded Exonerated Unfounded NotSustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Unfounded Sustained | Employee Suspended Coaching Written Reprimand | determined faile or not supported by facts. Furthermore, the third Deputy was within MCSO Office Policy and Procedure when stating the complainant would not be arrested. The investigation determined the allegation a Detention Officer made an inappropriate comment about a coworker to an inmate was not supported by the facts. Furthermore, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the second Officer relaxied against him by submitting complaints. The second Officer violated policy by bringing a cell phone to a secured area or the facility. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of policy violation. | Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained | 11/25/2024 11/25/2024 11/25/2024 |
| IA2023-0565 IA2024-0220 IA2024-0379 IA2024-0372 IA2024-0376 | 09/26/2023 10/11/2023 05/09/2024 08/07/2024 08/07/2024 | Internal Complaint Internal Complaint External Complaint Internal Complaint External Complaint | do his job properly. The complainant also alleged a third Deputy told him he wouldn't be arrested if he refused to sign a ditation. The first Complainant alleged a Detention Officer made an inappropriate comment about him to an immate. The second Complainant alleged a Detention Officer realiated against him by submitting complainst. It was further alleged the second Detention Officer brought his personal cell phone in to a secured area of a jail facility. It was alleged a Detention Officer was arrested for disorderly conduct and causing criminal damage to property. It is alleged a Detention Officer had several unauthorized absences in the month of July. It was alleged a Detention Officer had several unauthorized absences in the month of July. It was alleged a Detention Officer had several unauthorized absences of police contacts on two separate occasions. It was alleged that the employee failed to notify his supervisors of police contacts on two separate occasions. It was alleged Deputies damaged a malibox. The complianant alleged that Deputes took over 35 minutes to issue a citation, were rude, made "smart" | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Demolstein Bernering Secured Jail Facilities CP2 - Code of Conduct - Pohlbited Items Entering Secured Jail Facilities CP2 - Code of Conduct - Pohlbited Items Entering Secured Jail Facilities CP2 - Code of Conduct - Pohlbited Items Entering Secured Jail Facilities CP2 - Code of Conduct - Pohlbited Items Entering Secured Jail Facilities CP2 - Code of Conduct - Pohlbited Items Entering Secured Jail Facilities CP2 - Code of Conduct - Pohlbited Items Entering Secured Jail Facilities CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Supervisors Informed CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance To Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance To Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance To Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance To Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance To Established Laws CP3 - Code of Conduct - Conformance To Established Laws CP3 - Code of Conduct - Conformance To Established Laws CP3 - Code of Conduct - Conformance To Established Laws CP3 - Code of Conduct - Conformance To Established Laws CP3 - Code of Conduct - Conformance To Established Laws CP3 - Code of Conduct - Conformance To Established Laws CP3 - Code of Conduct - Conformance To Established Laws CP3 - Code of Conduct - Conformance To Established Laws CP4 - Code of Conduct - Conformance To Established Laws CP4 - Code of C | Unfounded Exonerated Unfounded Unfounded Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded | Employee Suspended Coaching Written Reprimand | determined faile or not supported by facts. Furthermore, the third Deputy was within MCSO Office Policy and Procedure when stating the complainant would not be arrested. The investigation determined the allegation a Detention Officer made an inappropriate comment about a covorker to an innate was not supported by the facts. Furthermore, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the second Officer realisted against him by submitting complaints. The second Officer violated policy by bringing a cell phone to a secured area of the facility. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of a policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of a policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the responderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the state or not supported by fact. The investigation determined the allegation was false or not supported by fact. The investigation determined the allegation was false or not supported by fact. | Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Unfounded | 11/25/2024 11/25/2024 11/25/2024 11/25/2024 11/25/2024 11/26/2024 |
| IA2023-0565 IA2024-0220 IA2024-0379 IA2024-0372 IA2024-0376 | 09/26/2023 10/11/2023 05/09/2024 08/07/2024 08/07/2024 | Internal Complaint Internal Complaint External Complaint Internal Complaint External Complaint Internal Complaint Internal Complaint | do his job properly. The complainant also alleged a third Deputy told him he wouldn't be arrested if he refused to sign a citation. The first Complainant alleged a Detention Officer made an inappropriate comment about him to an immate. The second Complainant alleged a Detention Officer realiated against him by submitting complainst. It was further alleged the second Detention Officer brought his personal cell phone in to a secured area of a jail facility. It was alleged a Detention Officer was arrested for disorderly conduct and causing criminal damage to property. It is alleged a Detention Officer had several unauthorized absences in the month of July. It was alleged a Detention Officer had several unauthorized absences in the month of July. It was reported a SIMS Immate Release Specialist failed to conform to established laws when he committed an assault. It was alleged Deputies damaged a mallbox. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Prohlbited Items: Entering Secured Jali Facilities CP2 - Code of Conduct - Prohlbited Items: Entering Secured Jali Facilities CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Supervisors Informed CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Supervisors Informed CP2 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Supervisors Informed CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Supervisors Informed CP2 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Supervisors Informed CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Keeping Supervisors Informed CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Keeping Supervisors Informed CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Keeping Supervisors Informed CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Keeping Supervisors Informed CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Keeping Supervisors Informed CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Keeping Supervisors Informed CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Keeping Supervisors Informed CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Keeping Supervisors Informed CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure Keeping Supervisors Informed CP4 - Code of Conduct - Failure Keeping Supervisors Informed CP4 - Code of Conduct - Failure Keeping Supervisors Informed CP4 - Code of Conduct - Failure Keepi | Unfounded Exonerated Unfounded NotSustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Unfounded Sustained | Employee Suspended Coaching Written Reprimand | determined faile or not supported by facts. Furthermore, the third Deputy was within MCSO Office Policy and Procedure when stating the complainant would not be arrested. The investigation determined the allegation a Detertion Officer made an inappropriate comment about a coworker to an innate was not supported by the facts. Furthermore, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the second Officer relatived against him by submitting complaints. The second Officer violated policy by bringing a cell phone to a secured area of the facility. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of policy violation. The investigation determined the employee violated policy when failing to notify his supervisors of police contact. However, the allegation that he failed to conform to established laws was faile or not supported by fact. | Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Unfounded | 11/25/202 11/25/202 11/25/202 11/25/202 11/25/202 11/25/202 |
| IA2023-0565 IA2024-0220 IA2024-0379 IA2024-0372 IA2024-0376 | 09/26/2023 10/11/2023 05/09/2024 08/07/2024 08/07/2024 | Internal Complaint Internal Complaint External Complaint Internal Complaint External Complaint Internal Complaint Internal Complaint | do his job properly. The complainant also alleged a third Deputy told him he wouldn't be arrested if he refused to sign a ditation. The first Complainant alleged a Detention Officer made an inappropriate comment about him to an immate. The second Complainant alleged a Detention Officer realiated against him by submitting complainst. It was further alleged the second Detention Officer brought his personal cell phone in to a secured area of a jail facility. It was alleged a Detention Officer was arrested for disorderly conduct and causing criminal damage to property. It is alleged a Detention Officer had several unauthorized absences in the month of July. It was alleged a Detention Officer had several unauthorized absences in the month of July. It was alleged a Detention Officer had several unauthorized absences in the month of July. It was alleged that the employee failed to notify his supervisors of police contacts on two separate occasions. It was alleged Deputies damaged a malibox. It was alleged Deputies damaged a malibox. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Prohibited Items: Entering Secured Jali Facilities CP2 - Code of Conduct - Prohibited Items: Entering Secured Jali Facilities CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Foilure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Supervisors Informed CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Reeping Supervisors Informed CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Xeet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Xeet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Xeet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Xeet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Xeet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Xeet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Xeet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Xeet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Xeet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Xeet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Xeet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Xeet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Xeet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Xeet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Xeet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure Xeet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure Xeet Standard | Unfounded Exonerated Unfounded Unfounded Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Unfounded | Employee Suspended Coaching Written Reprimand | determined faile or not supported by facts. Furthermore, the third Deputy was within MCSO Office Policy and Procedure when stating the complainant would not be arrested. The investigation determined the allegation a Detention Officer made an inappropriate comment about a covorker to an innate was not supported by the facts. Furthermore, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the second Officer realisted against him by submitting complaints. The second Officer violated policy by bringing a cell phone to a secured area of the facility. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of a policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of a policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the responderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the state or not supported by fact. The investigation determined the allegation was false or not supported by fact. The investigation determined the allegation was false or not supported by fact. | Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Unfounded | 11/25/2024 11/25/2024 11/25/2024 11/25/2024 11/25/2024 11/26/2024 |
| IA2023-0565 IA2024-0220 IA2024-0379 IA2024-0372 IA2024-0376 | 09/26/2023 10/11/2023 05/09/2024 08/07/2024 08/07/2024 | Internal Complaint Internal Complaint External Complaint Internal Complaint External Complaint Internal Complaint Internal Complaint | do his job properly. The complainant also alleged a third Deputy told him he wouldn't be arrested if he refused to sign a ditation. The first Complainant alleged a Detention Officer made an inappropriate comment about him to an immate. The second Complainant alleged a Detention Officer realiated against him by submitting complainst. It was further alleged the second Detention Officer brought his personal cell phone in to a secured area of a jail facility. It was alleged a Detention Officer was arrested for disorderly conduct and causing criminal damage to property. It is alleged a Detention Officer had several unauthorized absences in the month of July. It was alleged a Detention Officer had several unauthorized absences in the month of July. It was alleged a Detention Officer had several unauthorized absences in the month of July. It was alleged that the employee failed to notify his supervisors of police contacts on two separate occasions. It was alleged Deputies damaged a malibox. It was alleged Deputies damaged a malibox. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Ponbibted Items Entering Secured Jail Facilities CP2 - Code of Conduct - Ponbibted Items Entering Secured Jail Facilities CP2 - Code of Conduct - Ponbibted Items Entering Secured Jail Facilities CP2 - Code of Conduct - Follure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Supervisors informed CP2 - Code of Conduct - Follure to Stabilished Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Supervisors informed CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Estabilished Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Supervisors informed CP2 - Code of Conduct - Reiner Davisors informed CP2 - Code of Conduct - Reiner Davisors informed CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP3 - Cod | Unfounded Exonerated Unfounded Unfounded Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Unfounded | Employee Suspended Coaching Written Reprimand | determined faile or not supported by facts. Furthermore, the third Deputy was within MCSO Office Policy and Procedure when stating the complainant would not be arrested. The investigation determined the allegation a Detention Officer made an inappropriate comment about a covorker to an innate was not supported by the facts. Furthermore, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the second Officer realisted against him by submitting complaints. The second Officer violated policy by bringing a cell phone to a secured area of the facility. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of a policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of a policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the responderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the state or not supported by fact. The investigation determined the allegation was false or not supported by fact. The investigation determined the allegation was false or not supported by fact. | Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Unfounded | 11/25/2024 11/25/2024 11/25/2024 11/25/2024 11/25/2024 11/26/2024 |
| IA2023-0565 IA2024-0220 IA2024-0379 IA2024-0372 IA2024-0376 | 09/26/2023 10/11/2023 05/09/2024 08/07/2024 08/07/2024 | Internal Complaint Internal Complaint External Complaint Internal Complaint External Complaint Internal Complaint Internal Complaint | do his job properly. The complainant also alleged a third Deputy told him he wouldn't be arrested if he refused to sign a ditation. The first Complainant alleged a Detention Officer made an inappropriate comment about him to an immate. The second Complainant alleged a Detention Officer realiated against him by submitting complainst. It was further alleged the second Detention Officer brought his personal cell phone in to a secured area of a jail facility. It was alleged a Detention Officer was arrested for disorderly conduct and causing criminal damage to property. It is alleged a Detention Officer had several unauthorized absences in the month of July. It was alleged a Detention Officer had several unauthorized absences in the month of July. It was alleged a Detention Officer had several unauthorized absences in the month of July. It was alleged that the employee failed to notify his supervisors of police contacts on two separate occasions. It was alleged Deputies damaged a malibox. It was alleged Deputies damaged a malibox. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Demotoring Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Demotoring Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Public Demets Entering Secured all Facilities CP2 - Code of Conduct - Public Demets Entering Secured all Facilities CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Public Demets CP2 - Code of Conduct - Saliure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Saliure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Resping Supervisors Informed CP2 - Code of Conduct - Numer Comparisons Informed CP2 - Code of Conduct - Numer Comparison Enformed CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Numer Comparison Informed CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Stan | Unfounded Exonerated Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Unfounded Unfou | Employee Suspended Coaching Written Reprimand | determined faile or not supported by facts. Furthermore, the third Deputy was within MCSO Office Policy and Procedure when stating the complainant would not be arrested. The investigation determined the allegation a Detention Officer made an inappropriate comment about a covorker to an innate was not supported by the facts. Furthermore, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the second Officer realisted against him by submitting complaints. The second Officer violated policy by bringing a cell phone to a secured area of the facility. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of a policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of a policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the responderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the state or not supported by fact. The investigation determined the allegation was false or not supported by fact. The investigation determined the allegation was false or not supported by fact. | Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Unfounded | 11/25/2024 11/25/2024 11/25/2024 11/25/2024 11/25/2024 11/26/2024 |
| IA2023-0565 IA2024-0220 IA2024-0379 IA2024-0372 IA2024-0376 IA2024-0282 | 09/26/2023 10/11/2023 05/09/2024 08/07/2024 08/07/2024 08/07/2024 | Internal Complaint Internal Complaint External Complaint Internal Complaint External Complaint Internal Complaint Internal Complaint | do his job properly. The complainant also alleged a third Deputy told him he wouldn't be arrested if he refused to sign a ditation. The first Complainant alleged a Detention Officer made an inappropriate comment about him to an immate. The second Complainant alleged a Detention Officer realiated against him by submitting complainst. It was further alleged the second Detention Officer brought his personal cell phone in to a secured area of a jail facility. It was alleged a Detention Officer was arrested for disorderly conduct and causing criminal damage to property. It is alleged a Detention Officer had several unauthorized absences in the month of July. It was alleged a Detention Officer had several unauthorized absences in the month of July. It was alleged a Detention Officer had several unauthorized absences in the month of July. It was alleged that the employee failed to notify his supervisors of police contacts on two separate occasions. It was alleged Deputies damaged a malibox. It was alleged Deputies damaged a malibox. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Demonstration - CP2 - Code of Conduct - Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Public Demession CP2 - Code of Conduct - Public Demession CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Public Demession CP2 - Code of Conduct - Saliure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Reaping Supervisors Informed CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallur | Unfounded Exonerated Unfounded Unfounded Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Unfounded Unfounde Unfounded Unfounded Unfoun | Employee Suspended Coaching Written Reprimand | determined faile or not supported by facts. Furthermore, the third Deputy was within MCSO Office Policy and Procedure when stating the complainant would not be arrested. The investigation determined the allegation a Detention Officer made an inappropriate comment about a coworker to an inmate was not supported by the facts. Furthermore, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the second Officer relailated against him by submitting complaints. The second Officer violated policy by bringing a cell phone to a secured area of the facility. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conduction of a policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conduction of a policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conduction of a policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conduction of a policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conduction of a policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was faile or not supported by fact. The investigation determined the allegation was faile or not supported by fact. | Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Unfounded | 11/25/2024 11/25/2024 11/25/2024 11/25/2024 11/25/2024 11/26/2024 |
| IA2023-0565 IA2024-0220 IA2024-0379 IA2024-0372 IA2024-0376 IA2024-0282 | 09/26/2023 10/11/2023 05/09/2024 08/07/2024 08/07/2024 08/07/2024 06/12/2024 | Internal Complaint Internal Complaint External Complaint Internal Complaint External Complaint External Complaint External Complaint | do his job properly. The complainant also alleged a third Deputy told him he wouldn't be arrested if he refused to sign a ditation. The first Complainant alleged a Detention Officer made an inappropriate comment about him to an immate. The second Complainant alleged a Detention Officer realiated against him by submitting complainst. It was further alleged the second Detention Officer brought his personal cell phone in to a secured area of a jail facility. It was alleged a Detention Officer was arrested for disorderly conduct and causing criminal damage to property. It is alleged a Detention Officer had several unauthorized absences in the month of July. It was alleged a Detention Officer had several unauthorized absences in the month of July. It was alleged a Detention Officer had several unauthorized absences in the month of July. It was alleged a Detention Officer had several unauthorized absences in the month of July. It was alleged a Detention Officer and several unauthorized absences in the month of July. It was alleged a Detention Officer and several unauthorized absences in the month of July. It was alleged a Detention officer and several unauthorized absences in the month of July. It was alleged Deputies damaged a mailtox. It was alleged Deputies damaged a mailtox. It was alleged that Deputies took over 35 minutes to issue a citation, were rude, made "smart" comments, and profiles this because he was on al off skit. It was alleged one of the equiparise miniformed the complainant. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Demonstration - CP2 - Code of Conduct - Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Public Demession CP2 - Code of Conduct - Public Demession CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Public Demession CP2 - Code of Conduct - Saliure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Saliure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Stablished Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Stablished Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Stablished Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Stablished Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Stablished Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Fallure | Unfounded Exonerated Unfounded Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded | Employee Suspended Coaching Written Reprimand | determined faile or not supported by facts. Furthermore, the third Deputy was within MCSO Office Policy and Procedure when stating the complainant would not be arrested. The investigation determined the allegation a Detention Officer made an inappropriate comment about a coworker to an innate was not supported by the facts. Furthermore, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the second Officer related against him by submitting complaints. The second Officer violated policy by bringing a cell phone to a secure dara or of the facility. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of a policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of a policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of a policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of a policy violation. The investigation determined the allegation was false or not supported by fact. The investigation determined the allegation was false or not supported by fact. The investigation determined the allegation was false or not supported by fact. The investigation determined the allegation was false or not supported by fact. | Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Unfounded Not-Sustained | 11/25/2024 11/25/2024 11/26/2024 11/26/2024 11/27/2024 |